

What did stakeholders say about: **ALTERNATIVE CLEANUP OPTIONS?**

... **NASA's decision to limit alternatives ... is problematic ...** (1.2) State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)

The DEIS is deficient because it only presents two unacceptable extreme alternatives for the cleanup. (1) Citizen's Advisory Group for SSFL (CAG)

[NASA is] not providing an adequate study or public review of a full range of alternatives. (2) Resource Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mountains (RCDSMM)

The DEIS is incomplete because it excludes analysis of all possible levels of cleanup except the "cleanup to background" alternative. (s.3) Santa Susana Mountain Park Association (SSMPA)

WHNC requests that NASA reconsider its decision to limit the selection of one cleanup method ... (2.1) West Hills Neighborhood Council (WHNC)

... **[T]he DEIS should provide complete and balanced analysis of various reasonable cleanup scenarios.** (3) RCDSMM

... **[NASA's] DEIS does not contain sufficient analysis of [less-than-everything demolition] alternatives ...** (3.3) SHPO

The DEIS excludes from consideration reasonable alternatives supported by authorized standards of the State of California including cleanup to Suburban Residential, Commercial/Industrial, and Recreational levels. (3.a) SSMPA

... **[A]lternatives that would allow some degree of archaeological and historic preservation and still incorporate cleanup to levels protective of human health and the environment for suburban, industrial or recreational uses have been ... treated as nonviable alternatives.** (6) Los Angeles-Ventura Cultural Research Alliance (LanVen)

The EPA review has identified environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment. Corrective measures may require change to the preferred alternative (RD 3) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)

[The single alternative proposed does] not ... represent true cleanup so much as contaminant relocation and concentration. (6) RCDSMM

... **NASA has not given full consideration to reasonable alternatives that would avoid ... adverse effects to historic properties ...** (3.7) SHPO

The DEIS should be expanded to include ... excluded alternatives, presenting comparison of costs and all related effects on transportation, biological resources, cultural resources, soil, water, and air. (3.b) SSMPA

... **[W]e request that NASA, with DTSC's full involvement and assistance, provide a revised DEIS evaluating a full suite of safe alternatives ...** (7) RCDSMM

The magnitude of adverse effects ... that will result from the [NASA's] Proposed Action warrants serious consideration of alternatives ... (4.1) SHPO

WHNC requests that NASA look at other alternatives and procedures that would mitigate the negative effects of this DEIS. (2.1) WHNC

[The SSFL,] a place of such critical ecological and exceptional cultural resource value merits consideration of a full suite of reasonable alternatives. (2) RCDSMM

The NEPA and CEQA analysis must consider all options (1.e) SSMPA

We request a revision of the DEIS that includes more true alternatives for cleanup including an approach that gives primary consideration to the protection of critical natural and cultural resources while protecting human safety at a level appropriate to the anticipated future land use. (2) RCDSMM

[We have no choice but to] select the "no action" alternative, which will be less adverse to the environment and more protective of public health. (1.2) San Fernando Valley Audubon Society (SFVAS)

... [T]he reports tables seem to suggest that the no action alternative is the superior alternative. That [conclusion] of course cannot stand. (7) RCDSMM

[We] resolutely support cleanup of this site to "reasonable" levels. We believe the "Suburban Residential" cleanup standard, set by the 2007 Consent Orders, is a very reasonable ... (cc.6) SSMPA

The "Suburban Residential" level will exceed requirements when the land becomes open space, as almost all who are familiar with the property request. (cc.6) SSMPA